

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,577	10/21/2005	Toshiyuki Kanno	FUJI:344	4816
37013 7590 08/12/2008 ROSSI, KIMMS & McDOWELL, LLP.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 826			KASHNIKOW, ERIK	
ASHBURN, VA 20146-0826			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/532 577 KANNO ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ERIK KASHNIKOW 1794 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 June 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3-5 and 7-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-5 and 7-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 April 2005 is/are; a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/21/2005 and 01/19/2006.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1794

### DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

- Applicant's election of claims 1, 3-5 and 7-12 in the reply filed on 05/30/08 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- Claims 13-26 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b)
  as being drawn to a nonelected method, there being no allowable generic or linking
  claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 05/30/08.

### Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a **single** paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/532,577
Art Unit: 1794

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims1, 3, 8, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aida et al. (EP 0 405 982).
- 6. In regards to claim 1 Aida et al. teach a thermoplastic resin which incorporates inorganic filler, flame retardant agent (page 1 lines 3-8), glass fiber (reinforcing fibers)(page 5 lines 23-25) and a cross linking agent in an amount of 0.01-7 parts per weight per 100 parts of the thermoplastic polymer composition (claim 2). Aida et al. teach that the resin is cross linked by a heat treatment (claim 1). Finally Aida et al. teach the resin can is molded into various shapes (page 3 lines 26-30).
- In regards to claim 3 Aida et al. teach that at least one cross linking agent is used, which means that more than one may also be used (page 5 lines 7-8).
- In regards to claim 8 Aida et al teach that the inorganic filler be present in amounts of 5-200 parts per 100 parts of the resin composition, this relates to 4.75-66.66% of the entire resin composition, which overlaps Applicant's range (page 5 lines 31-35).
- In regards to claim 10 Aida et al. teach that the resin composition contains a flame retardant in amounts of 4.75-66.6% by weight of the entire resin composition (page 5 line 36 page 6 line 6).
- In regards to claim 12 Aida et al. teach that their invention may be used for insulating of electrical wires (page 3 lines 25-30).

Art Unit: 1794

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- Claims 4 and 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aida et al. (EP 0 405 982) in view of Funayama et al. (5,128,286).
- 13. As stated above Aida et al. teach molded articles which contain a cross linking agent as well as a multitude of inorganic fillers, however they are silent regarding the specific cross linking agents of Applicants invention.
- 14. In regards to claim 4 Aida et al. teach that the primary resin in the molded article may be a polyamide (page 3 line 54).
- 15. In regards to claims 4 Funyama et al. teach a cross linking agent which has a main skeleton which comprises an N element containing cyclic compound, this is the borazine cross linking agent shown as compound (iii) (column 7 lines 5-18).
- 16. In regards to claim 15 Funyama et al. teach that the R4 components of the borazine may be hydrogens or alkenyl groups. While they are silent regarding the specific examples of the Applicant's side chains it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to pick the side chains using the functional groups listed by Funyama that best cross links the desired compounds.
- 17. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Invention of Aida et al. with that of Funyama et al. because the invention of

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/532,577

Art Unit: 1794

Aida et al. which offers a molded product that is superior in moldability without loss of mechanical strength (page 3 lines 17-22) would benefit from the boron compounds which improves mechanical strength at high temperatures (column 3 lines 5-10).

- Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aida et al.
   (EP 0 405 982) in view of Marzocchi (3,888,645).
- 19. As stated above Aida et al. teach molded articles which contain a cross linking agent as well as inorganic fillers, including glass fibers included in amounts ranging from 4.75-66.6% by weight of the entire resin (page 5 lines 19-35) however they are silent regarding coating the glass fibers with a resin.
- Marzocchi teaches a method of treating glass fibers used as fiber reinforcement.
   Marzocchi teaches that the glass fibers are coated with a resin (claim 1).
- 21. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the article of Aida et al. with the glass fibers of Marzocchi et al. because the article of Aida et al. which has a product that is superior in moldability without loss of mechanical strength (page 3 lines 17-22) would benefit from the improved abrasion resistance (column 1 lines 3-7) of the glass fibers of Marzocchi.
- Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aida et al.
   (EP 0 405 982) in view of Usuki et al. (US 4,889,885).

Art Unit: 1794

23. As stated above Aida et al. teach molded articles which contain a cross linking agent as well as inorganic fillers, including clay in ranges from 4.75-66.66% by weight (page 5 lines 19-35) but are silent regarding the clay being a stratified clay.

- 24. Usuki et al. teach a composite material with high mechanical strength and heat resistance (column 1 lines 7-10). Usuki et al. teach that stratified clay is used as an inorganic portion of the composite material (column 7 lines 23-30).
- 25. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the article of Aida et al. with the material of Usuki et al. because the article of Aida et al. which has a product that is superior in moldability without loss of mechanical strength (page 3 lines 17-22) would benefit from the high mechanical strength and heat resistance (column 1 lines 7-11) of the material of Usuki et al.
- Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aida et
   (EP 0 405 982) in view of Tanaka et al. (JP 11-180990).
- 27. As stated above Aida et al. teach molded articles which contain a cross linking agent and inorganic fillers as well as phosphorous based flame retardants (page 5 lines 5—53), however they are silent regarding monofunctional phosphorus based flame retardants.
- 28. Tanaka et al. teach specific organophosphorus compounds, and specifically mention alkenly phosphinate compounds as preferred examples as flame retardants (Claim 1).

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/532,577
Art Unit: 1794

29. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the article of Aida et al. with the material of Tanaka et al. because the article of Aida et al. which has a product that is superior in moldability without loss of mechanical strength (page 3 lines 17-22) would benefit from the economic benefits of ease of manufacturing and separation refinement of material of Tanaka et al ([0026]).

#### Conclusion

30. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sneddon et al. (US 5,202,399) which also teaches polyborazine vinyl compounds.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ERIK KASHNIKOW whose telephone number is (571)270-3475. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-5:00PM EST (Second Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Callie Shosho can be reached on (571) 272-1123. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Erik Kashnikow Examiner Art Unit 1794

/Callie E. Shosho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794